Evaluation of the knowledge in hygiene practices: a qualitative approach
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ABSTRACT

The knowledge of goat milkers on milking hygiene was investigated, by means of a qualitative approach, before and after a Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) training course. Milkers from three goat dairy farms in the state of São Paulo, Brazil, were interviewed using a semi-structured questionnaire. Responses to the questions were recorded, transcribed and analyzed by means of the Discourse of the Collective Subject. Milkers were then trained in a one-hour content and dialogue-based lecture on "GPP principles" and "recommended hygienic procedures for milking". Two months after the training course, milkers were interviewed again with the same questionnaire used before the training course. No difference was found between discourses obtained before and after the training course. Individual analysis of the discourses showed that milkers had previous experience milking of animals, liked their jobs and were willing to learn more about it. However, they had no clear suggestions on how to improve their work routine.
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Introduction

Training of food handlers is essential for the control of undesirable microorganisms in raw food ingredients. This is of particular importance in relation to milk because the product is
subject to contamination of several different sources, and it is especially true for goat milk, which is generally indicated for specific populations, such as children, elderly and debilitated adults. Besides, goat milk production occurs mainly in developing countries, under rudimentary conditions (Camacho & Sierra, 1988), in small farms, which are normally not involved in extension programs on hygiene and improvement of production conditions. These factors negatively affect the microbiological quality of goat milk and consequently, increase human health risks in consuming contaminated goat milk.

The expression "Good Manufacturing Practices" (GMP) is used to indicate a group of actions applied to the production of food, pharmaceutical products and medical instruments in order to guarantee their quality and prevent risks to consumer health. Although GMP started to be used in food production in the 70s, they were only made compulsory after 1995 in several countries (Hooten, 1996). In Brazil, GMP became mandatory in food production in 1997, when regulation # 326/97 from the Ministry of Health and regulation # 368/97, from the Ministry of Agriculture (Brazil, 1997) were issued. However, the use of GMP is not mandatory for the milking procedure in dairy farms.

Extension programs applied to small farms may be highly influenced by the ideology of the technicians involved in it. These professionals are not trained to deal with educational issues, and the refusal to accept the technological offers they made are blamed on three main agents: the farmers and their ignorance; other technicians, for their unpreparedness and their unethical attitudes; the institutions and their power (Oliveira, 1993). Therefore, the target of extension programs should be deeply known in order to overcome these limitations. Food handlers should be continuously trained, and any attempts to change hygienic habits should be based on the study of beliefs and attitudes related to food safety, using qualitative methods (Costa et al., 2002; Coleman et al., 2000; Cleary, 1988; Mergler, 1987).

The objective of the present study was to carry out a qualitative evaluation using the Discourse of the Collective Subject (Lefèvre & Lefèvre, 2003), on the knowledge about milking hygiene in small dairy goat farms located in the state of São Paulo, Brazil, before and after a GMP training course.

**Methods**

The study was carried out in three dairy goat farms in the state of Sao Paulo. In two of them, goats were manually milked, and in one of them, there was a milking machine. The procedure followed for milking the goats was carefully analyzed in each farm, based on the GMP evaluation sheet determined by Brazilian regulations (Brazil, 2002). This analysis enabled the identification of the most important problems in the milking procedure that were approached during the GMP training session.

Before the analysis of milking procedure and the GMP training course, milkers were individually interviewed, and their responses to the questionnaire were recorded and transcribed. A semi-structured questionnaire, previously tested and adjusted to the objectives of the study, was used in this interview. It contained the following questions: 1 You are a milker, aren’t you? How did you become a milker? 2 How did you learn the job? Did you
Responses obtained in questions one to nine of the questionnaire applied before and after GMP training course are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 1. Synthesis of the central ideas observed in the Discourse of the Collective Subject of goat milkers, before the GMP training course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Central Ideas</th>
<th>Discourse of the collective subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) You are a milker, aren’t you? How did you become a milker?</td>
<td>Started working with other animals.</td>
<td>It was with cattle. I didn’t deal with goats, I started with cows. With goats, I started here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handyman.</td>
<td>I worked in the area. I came here to put a fence in the pasture. I saw the place and I liked. Then they asked me if I wanted to work here, and I liked that too. It was that, I became a milker here in the farm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(2) How did you learn the job? Did you have any training? Talk a little about that.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Informal training.</th>
<th>The first time I saw the other milker working. Then I got it. He showed me two or three times, and I got it myself.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formal training, but with cows.</td>
<td>I was trained with cows, not goats. I went to hoof care, goat management courses, but not to milk courses. I learned it here, with S. [the veterinarian of the association].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) Do you know who drinks this milk?

| Children drink goat milk, product is medicinal and hypoallergenic. Does not know where milk goes. | Who drinks it? I think mostly kids. Kids who have allergy to cow milk, kids that have bronchitis, isn’t it? I don’t know. I milk the goats. I milk them, and take the milk to the dairy. But then, after that, I don’t know what happens. |
| Elderly people drink goat milk. | Children and old people, isn’t it? It’s like, children that have bronchitis, old people that have osteoporosis, isn’t it? |

(4) Explain to me how you milk the goats.

| Standard procedure, with small variations. | We bring the goats, then do the mastitis test, then wash with water, then I use water with chlorine, you know, for a better cleaning. Then I dry with this paper towel, and start milking. The goats, I milk them one by one, and then I inject iodine solution in the teat. |

(5) What is the worst part of your job? Is there any?

<p>| There is no worst part, work is only work and has to be done. | There is no worst part. I am a person who likes the animals and it is good to deal with the goats. This kind of work you have to do everything. It is everyday, no day off. Always at the same time, sometimes even earlier, sometimes when you want to go out. But it is |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ok; it is easy, because you give water, food, chop grass…</td>
<td>The best part? It is when it I’m off, when Saturday comes, and it is time to get paid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) And the best part?</td>
<td>The best part is when not working.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The best part is the milking procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The best part is drinking the milk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Goat milk may transmit some diseases. What do you know about that?</td>
<td>No, I don’t know anything about that. I know about mastitis and brucellosis. What I know is that bad hygiene really transmits, may cause these diseases. I try to work in the best conditions of hygiene that I can.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Do you think you will continue doing this job?</td>
<td>Yes, but the decision does not depend only on him.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I will, for sure. While the boss wants that, I think I’ll stay here. I like it, and the job is not hard, so I keep on going. But I can’t guarantee anything. Tomorrow doesn’t belong to us, isn’t it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) Is there anything you think could be changed here that would make your job better?</td>
<td>Changes in the physical structure would make the job better.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There is no need for changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I guess the boss is thinking about getting a bigger place for the animals and putting the parlor closer. If he does that, things are really going to be better. For me, for me, like, the job is generally good, it’s going well. We have everything, tools. It’s good the way it is.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Central Ideas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) You are a milker, aren’t you? How did you become a milker?</td>
<td>Started working with other animals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) How did you learn the job? Did you have any training? Talk a little about that.</td>
<td>Informal training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Do you know who drinks this milk?</td>
<td>Children drink goat milk, product is medicinal and hypoallergenic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
drinks it until now. And children who have allergies.

(4) Explain to me how you milk the goats. Standard procedure, with small variations. The boy gets the goats, brushes them before and takes them to me. I tie them here. We do the mastitis test. Then we wash them and the other comes and dries them with paper towel. I clean my hands with alcohol and milk them. After that, I disinfect, with iodine solution.

(5) What is the worst part of your job? Is there any? There is no worst part, work is only work and has to be done. Work is always work. I think that everything is the same. It is like, too many things to do. But with the goats, everything is nice. I don’t think there is a worst part, everything is fine.

(6) And the best part? The best part is when not working. The best part is when the milk is ready to be consumed. The best part is when I have the day off, isn’t it? When the milking is over.

(7) Goat milk may transmit some diseases. What do you know about that? Little information on diseases transmitted by milk. I know they may be transmitted by air, isn’t it? By the air, the environment. The cloth, the utensils. Now, the kind of disease I don’t know, I think tuberculosis is one, the others, I don’t remember!

(8) Do you think you will continue doing this job? Yes, but the decision does not depend only on him. Our life, we never know what may happen tomorrow or the day after, both for me and for the boss. But I think I’ll continue here for like 3 more years. If someone offers me a better offer
that gives my family a better situation, it is obvious that I will accept. If not, I will stay, why leave?

| (9) Is there anything you think could be changed here that would make your job better? | Changes should be made. I think they will build the parlor, that we really need, and the boss said he will make it up there, a closed office, he will close, put some ceramic tiles, the most beautiful thing. And I asked him to put the paper towel there, in a closed place. I will also ask him to put a place for the soap, and if he would put hot water here, work would be much easier, isn’t it? | Although changes are necessary, they depend on the boss. Many things are necessary, but they depend on the boss. I want to do them, but it depends on him. |

In question 1, two basic situations were observed: either the individual worked with other animals, or he was a handyman, doing a little of everything in the farm. No great changes in central ideas were observed before and after the training course. In question 2, the notion of milking as a solitary job shows up. It is a job that you learn by yourself, on a daily basis, and milkers do not think there are differences in milking animals of different species. In most cases, the procedure was taught by another milker, and in only one case, by a veterinarian. It seems here that veterinarians may consider training of milkers as something of lesser importance, for the milking procedure is one of the smallest problems these professionals may face in the daily routine in dairy goat farms. In this case, a chance for improving milk quality is missed, as well as a chance for showing the differences in management of diverse dairy animal species.

As for question 3, only one of the milkers did not know who consumed the milk, and the others knew that it as preferentially consumed by children. Two of them talked about milk being consumed by sick or allergic children, and one of them, by elderly people. It was observed that milkers knew that goat milk is a product used for medicinal purposes, an idea that was repeated after the training course. As for question 4, all milkers performed the standard milking procedure, with some modifications: two of them carried out the strip cup test; all of them washed the teats with cold, not warm water; two of them disinfected the teats with chlorine solution before milking, but they did not know how much chlorine they used;
all of them dried the teats with disposable paper towels, but they used the same towel for both
teats, and then started milking the animals; two of them supplied feed for the animals during
the milking procedure, but only one used specific feed for dairy animals; all the others used
only corn meal for the animal to be quiet during the procedure; after milking, all of them used
iodine solution for mastitis prevention.

In question 5, three milkers said that working with goats was a pleasant job, and two of them
said that work was only work. Two milkers showed that some activities were more difficult
to be performed, such as removing manure. However, they considered that their overall
routine with the animals was not a hard job and that they were rarely tired at the end of the
day. Responses to question 6 led to different central ideas in the discourses before and after
the training course: the best moment was when not working (one discourse); or when the
wage was received (one discourse); or the moment of milking (one discourse); or the milk
itself (two discourses). After the training course, two kinds of answers were observed: the
best moment was the day off (two discourses), or when the milk was ready to be consumed
(one discourse).

Central ideas for question 7, before the training course, indicated that the knowledge of the
milkers involved diseases that affected milk production (mastites) or brucellosis, showing
workers may have previously dealt with cows, once Brucella melitensis, transmitted by
goats, is an exotic, unreported disease in Brazil (Astudillo, 2004). Only one of the milkers
talked about the hygiene of the procedure as something important for the transmission of
diseases by milk, but the relationship between milk and diseases seemed to be more
frequently associated to animal health than to hygienic procedures during milking. Another
milker showed that he wanted to lean more about diseases, because he understood that this
was an important issue for him to progress in his job and life.

In question 8, most of the milkers answered that continuing in the job did not depend only on
them, but on conditions external to them, what was repeated in the responses obtained after
the training course. However, milkers stated before training that because they liked to work
with animals, they would possibly continue to do so. As for question 9, discourses varied:
two of them would like to see changes in the physical structure of the milking parlor, which
would improve the workflow, idea that was repeated in the end of the study. Two of them
thought changes were not necessary and only one talked about better wages.

Discussion

The World Health Organization recommends that educational programs should be culturally
appropriate for food handlers of different countries, and should take into account feeding
habits and beliefs of the population in a way that changes in habits and attitudes may be
achieved (Ehiri & Morris, 1994). In order to do that, sociological and anthropological
methods should be used when food safety programs are structured. The objective of
interviewing food handlers is to explore and describe the specter of attitudes and experiences
in a certain field, more than to quantify the opinions collected (Vaarst et al., 2002). This was
based on the fact that, in order to implement good hygienic practices in food handling, all the
factors that affect these practices (individual and collective, behavioral and environmental) should be investigated (Costa et al., 2002).

The analysis of the discourses obtained in question 1, "you are a milker, aren't you? How did you become a milker?", shows that, for milkers interviewed, working with animals was something that did not differ much, no matter the animal species they may be dealing with, with no need for specialization. Although they may have been trained (question 2), the job was learned in a daily basis, by following the work routine. On the other hand, the idea of the handyman, the worker that does all the tasks in the farm, as seen in the discourses before and after the training course, may only reflect the job opportunity that showed up for these workers. They were milkers, but they could be working in any other low-specialization job.

In question 2, "How did you learn the job? Did you have any training? Talk a little about that", no differences were observed before and after the GMP training course. Formal training occurred only in farm 2, where they had a milking machine, and milk was part of the income of the farm; the other farms were only leisure places where their owners spent their weekends. In the discourse obtained after the training course, it was clear that the worker in farm 2 had gone beyond the others interviewed here, being trained on animal management and health, showing that the financial commitment of the owner with goat breeding and milk production may lead to greater interest in training milkers.

In question 3 "Do you know who drinks this milk?", the milker who did not know what happened to the milk after it was obtained seemed to feel that he did not control his workflow. This may be one factor influencing the outcome of the training, once not knowing the workflow does not stimulate participation or involvement. Workers may have a fragmented notion of the best form to perform a task. They are generally technically qualified in their small area of expertise, are disciplined, politically submissive, isolated and non-organized, because the content of their jobs was emptied and mechanized (Kuenzer, 1995). Worker participation and initiative tends to be weak if they are not well represented (Mergler, 1987), which is true for milkers, who are not organized as a class. Participation, therefore, would be based on the individual attitude of the milker, in the trust he has in the technician, on his interest in the job he is performing. The lack of commitment with the job, visible in the analysis of both discourses of the group was possibly one of the greatest barriers to the success of the GMP training course.

As for question 4, “Explain to me how you milk the goats”, only one of the milkers talked about the hygiene necessary for the procedure, mainly clean hands and trimmed nails, and disinfecting hands before milking. Another milker said that cleaning the milking parlor was important. However, washing hands before milking was never described as part of the process. Some milkers were also responsible for bringing the goats to the parlor, and after doing so, they milked the animals without washing their hands, both before and after the training course. Two of the farms had sinks and soap in the milking parlor, but they were only used to wash utensils before milking, and not the hands, either before or during milking. When the milking parlor was open, there was no sink, and soap was not available. In the farm where they had a milking machine, workers that brought the goats sometimes washed their hands before milking, but this may be due to the Hawthorne effect (Goldehar & Schulte, 1994), according to which workers may change their behavior simply because researchers are in their worksite. Therefore, the milking procedure was the same in the discourses obtained
before and after the training course, which could be confirmed by the observation of the milking routine.

As for question 5, "What is the worst part of your job? Is there any?", in general, milkers considered that the activities they had to perform were not tiresome. On the other hand, it has already been demonstrated that the impact of physical load may affect work ability and health status of milkers in the milking of cows (Shenkman & Badken, 1989), as well as in other kinds of activities (Sell, 2002). In the response obtained for the same question after the training course, they repeated the observation that work is only work, with no worst part. This kind of attitude observed in this discourse is not exclusive of this category of worker, and it makes it very difficult to involve these men in educational programs. The responses show the low level of commitment with the job, not only in this question, but also in the following one in the questionnaire.

Answers obtained in question 6, "And the best part?", again indicated the idea that, because it is not a highly qualified job, these workers had accepted it, as they would have accepted another kind of job inside or outside the farms, in order to survive. However, one response was different from the others: the worker that believed that the best part was "when we are pasteurizing the milk, when milk is there, being bottled, ready to go, very nice ", was the same person who was formally trained. This fact suggests that when farmers invest in the technical training of their workers, they may improve their self-esteem and consequently, make them more involved and open to the educational process.

In the discourse presented for question 7, "Goat milk may transmit some diseases. What do you know about that?", after the GMP training course, greater knowledge on the foodborne diseases was observed, which was expected in these interviews. One of the workers talked about the issues approached in the training course, saying that utensils used during milking and the hygiene of the procedure were important in the transmission of diseases by the milk. This may have occurred due to the fact that the interval between the training course and the second interview of this worker was the shortest one in the study, due to arrangements in the chronogram. The milker that was previously submitted to formal training talked about tuberculosis, an issue probably approached in other courses on milk technology he may have attended. Another milker apologized for not remembering any information given in the training, because he was facing some personal problems. The form of the training used in this study – a lecture, which does not motivates participation (Bernardo, 2003), – may be criticized here, reinforcing the need for the technician to be better trained in his role as an educator.

As for question 8, “Do you think you will continue doing this job?”, one of the milkers said that it was not a difficult or tough job, but also said that it was always the same, what may be little challenging or motivating for him, leading him to be uninterested in his work routine or changes in it. This was the same milker who did not know the final destination of the milk. In the answer obtained after the training course, survival and breadwinning were issues approached, which were not so clearly stated in the first interview. All answers indicate the little commitment these workers had with their jobs, what may have made it difficult to have good results in the GMP training course.
For the discourses presented in question 9 after the training course, "Is there anything you think could be changed here that would make your job better?", the one that was obtained from the worker that had the shortest interval between the two interviews should be emphasized. He clearly talked about the issues approached in the training course on hygiene and GMP applied to goat milking.

The overall analysis of central ideas obtained in the interviews with milkers of three farms demonstrates that these workers understood the need for a clean milking, but they ignored the existence of disinfection step. These concepts were approached and the difference between them was emphasized in the GMP training course. Another aspect that was observed in the farms studied, which is very common in other small farms, is the fact that labor is based on one only worker, or on a small family who carries out all the activities in the farm. This multitasking observed in the study may have contributed for the absence of special attention to the moment of milking (wearing a different uniform, constant washing of hands during the procedure), due to the large load of activities these workers have to handle. Thus, although milkers thought that what they had learned was important, even repeating some of the information in the post-training interview, there was a huge difficulty in changing the procedures that had already been crystallized by their habits.

Veterinarians that are normally involved in this kind of educational effort in dairy farms find it difficult to teach these workers, due to the limitations they have in their own professional training. The central ideas observed in the discourses of the milkers interviewed in the present study should be taken into account by veterinarians in their educational efforts in goat breeding farms. Besides, the veterinary undergraduate curriculum should be greatly changed, in a way that besides technical knowledge, a more humanistic and holistic training was achieved (Goodger, 1982). This kind of change would enormously benefit both the general population and the workers that are in direct contact with veterinarians.

Conclusions

Results of the present study evidenced the difficulties in educational approaches aiming at the change of behaviors in goat milkers. Although workers may know that the issues discussed are important, changes in routine may be affected by a series of technical barriers to the implementation of quality systems, besides anthropological and sociological obstacles that should at least be known and studied by professionals responsible for training labor in rural areas. This approach should be better analyzed in educational programs focusing on the improvement of goat milk quality, in a way to increase the range and efficacy of extension actions directed to farmers.
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